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Computer Readable Representations of Chemical Compounds
Differences in Computing Biosequences and CMPs

- DNA/proteins
  - Linear strings, one connection type, usually no branch points or ring closures
- Compounds
  - Several connection types, many branch points and/or ring closures
Utility of Structure Formats

- Nomenclature to uniquely represent chemicals
- Computer representation and manipulation
- Format interconversions
- Representation of stereochemistry and 3D formats
Different Names for Different Purposes

Trivial and Brand Names
Short, easy to pronounce names that lack chemical information. Often ambiguous and not very precise.

IUPAC
Unambiguous naming conventions defined by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC). Not very useful for computational approaches.

InChI
InChI (International Chemical Identifier) is the latest and most modern line notation. It resolves many of the chemical ambiguities not addressed by SMILES, particularly with respect to stereo centers, tautomers, etc.
Most Commonly Used Structure Formats

- **Chemical nomenclature**
  - Trivial names: aspirin, acetylsalicylic acid
  - IUPAC: 2-acetoxybenzoic acid
  - InChI: `1.12Beta/C9H8O4/c1-6(10)13-8-5-3-2-7(8)9(11)12/h1H3,2-5H,(H,11,12)`

- **Line notations**
  - SMILES: `CC(=O)Oc1ccccc1C(=O)O`
  - Other: WLN, ROSDAL, SLN, etc.

- **Connection tables hold 3D & annotation information**
  - SDF (structure definition file)
  - MDL Molfile
  - Other: PDB, CML, etc.
SMILES: Simplified Molecular Input Line Entry System

- Online rendering: [http://www.daylight.com/daycgi/depict](http://www.daylight.com/daycgi/depict)
- Non-canonical SMILES for manual entry
- Canonical SMILES needs to be computer generated
- Canonicalization: single (‘correct’) representation of several possibilities
  - OCC - ethanol
  - CCO - ethanol
- Canonical format important for databases
SSMILES is an extremely simplified subset of SMILES that consists only of four rules:

1. Atoms are represented by atomic symbols
2. Double bonds are '＝', triple bonds are '＃'
3. Branching is indicated by parentheses
4. Ring closures are indicated by pairs of matching digits.
SMILES Rules 1

C
Methane: CH4. Hydrogens are added according to valence rules.

N-C=O
Formamide. Single ‘-’, double ‘=’, triple ‘#’ and aromatic bond ‘:’.

NC=O
Formamide. Bonds do not need to be specified in unambiguous cases.

NC(CO)=O
2-hydroxyacetamide. Side-chains of branch points in parentheses. The leftmost atom inside parentheses is attached to the atom to the left of the parentheses.

C1CCNCC1
Piperidine. If there is a ring, a matching pair of digits means that the two atoms to the left of the digits are bonded.
SMILES Rules 2

c1cccccc1O
   Phenol. **Aromatic atoms** are represented as lowercase letters. Note also that the bonds default to aromatic and single, as appropriate.

[Pb]
   Lead. The typical organic atoms, B, C, N, O, P, S, F, Cl, Br, are drawn without brackets. All **other elements** must have square brackets, and all their bonds including hydrogens must be specified.

[OH-]
   **Unusual valence and charge** are represented in square brackets '[]'.

c1cccccc1[N+](=O)[O-]
   Nitrobenzene. Another example using square brackets to be specific about **charge location**.
SMILES Rules 3

\[Na^+].[O-]c1cccc1c1

Sodium phenoxide. The ‘.’ (period or ”dot”) is used to represent disconnections.

\[13CH4\]

Isotopes are specified in brackets by prefixing the desired integral atomic mass. Connected hydrogens must be specified in brackets.

F/C═C/F

Trans-difluoroethene. Cis/trans configurations around double bonds are specified by slashes: ’C/C═C\’ (cis) and ’C/C═C/C’ (trans).

N[C@@H](C)C(═O)O

L-alanine (from N, H-methyl-carboxy appear clockwise). Chirality is specified with ’@’ and ’@@’. @ means anti-clockwise and @@ means clockwise.

N[C@H](C)C(═O)O

D-alanine (from N, H-methyl-carboxy appear anti-clockwise).
SMARTS: SMiles ARbitrary Target Specification

- Motivation: superset of SMILES to express molecular patterns
- Regular expression system for molecules represented in SMILES format
Connection Table Formats: SDF and Mol

Molfile: header block and connection table (a, b)
SDfile: extension of Molfile (a, b, c)

(a) Header block
   (a1) CMP name or blank line
   (a2) software, date, 2/3D, ...
   (a3) blank line

(b) Connection table (CT)
   (b1) counts line: n atoms, n bonds, chiral, ...
   (b2) atom block: x,y,z coordinates, atoms, mass diff., charge, ...
      2D representation when z coordinates all zero
   (b3) bond block: atom 1, atom 2, bond type, stereo specs, ...
   (b4) CT delimiter

(c) Annotation data
   (c1) <data header>
   (c2) data
   (c3) blank line
   (c4) continues like c1-3
   (c5) SDF delimiter ($$$$

Bioinformatics Workshop - NM-AIST  CMP Structure Formats
Example: SDF Format

```
a1  NSC85228 ethanol 1
a2  APtclserve02230600142D 0 0.00000 0.0000ONCI NS
a3
b1  9 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0999 V2000
b2  2.8660 -0.250 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.00000 0.00000 NCI NS
b2  3.7321 0.2500 0.0000 C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
b2  4.5981 -0.250 0.0000 C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
b2  2.3291 0.0600 0.0000 H 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
b2  4.1306 0.7249 0.0000 H 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
b2  3.3335 0.7249 0.0000 H 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
b2  4.2881 -0.786 0.0000 H 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
b2  5.1350 -0.560 0.0000 H 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
b2  4.9081 0.2869 0.0000 H 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
b3  1 2 1 0 0 0 0
b3  2 3 1 0 0 0 0
b3  1 4 1 0 0 0 0
b3  2 5 1 0 0 0 0
b3  2 6 1 0 0 0 0
b3  3 7 1 0 0 0 0
b3  3 8 1 0 0 0 0
b3  3 9 1 0 0 0 0
b4  M END
```

```
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How to define similarities between compounds?
Knowledge-Based Approaches

1. Identical Structure Search
2. Superstructure Search
3. Substructure Search
CMP Similarity Searching

**Similarity Search**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Query</th>
<th>Hits</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Query 1" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Hits 1" /></td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Query 2" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Hits 2" /></td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Query 3" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Hits 3" /></td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Substructure Search**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Query</th>
<th>Hits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Query" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Hits" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Important Compound Search Methods

1. Identical Structure Search
2. Substructure and Superstructure Searches
   - Knowledge-based approaches
3. 3D Similarity Searches (e.g. pharmacophore searching)
   - Slow and inaccurate
4. 2D Fragment Similarity Searching
   - Fast and accurate

Involves 2 major steps
- Structural descriptors
- Similarity measure

Structural descriptors in similarity searching
- Atom pairs: C12N03_06
- Atom sequences: C12C13C13C02C02N03
- Fingerprints: rules to enumerate all fragments in common structures
2D Fragment Similarity Search Methods

Involve two major steps
- Structural descriptors
- Similarity measure

Major types of structural descriptors
- Structural keys
- Fingerprints
- Atom pairs and atom sequences
Structural Keys

- Structural descriptors are based on lookup library of known “functional” substructures.
- Pre-compute presence of relevant substructures up front and encode them in bit-vector.
- Example of structural keys:
  - Presence of atoms (C, N, O, S, Cl, Br, etc.)
  - Ring systems
  - Aromatic, Phenol, Alcohol, Amine, Acid, Ester, ...
- Disadvantages:
  - Lookup library tends to be incomplete.
  - Sparsely populated vectors.
Fingerprints

- Fingerprints are generated directly from the molecule itself and not from a reference set of substructures.
- The algorithm examines each molecule and generates the following patterns:
  - One for each atom.
  - One representing each atom and its nearest neighbors (plus the bonds that join them).
  - One representing each group of atoms and bonds connected by paths up to 2, 3, 4, ... bonds long.
  - For example, the molecule OC=CN would generate the following patterns:
    - 0-bond paths: C, O, N
    - 1-bond paths: OC, C=, CN
    - 2-bond paths: OC=, C=C, CN
    - 3-bond paths: OC=CN,
Fingerprints

- No pre-defined patterns.
- Record counts presence or absence of structural fragments.
- Patterns are often encoded into fixed length (binary) vectors for fast similarity searching.
- Fast algorithms.
- Abstract, hard to traceback meaning of individual bits.

Database of binary fingerprints
Atom Pair and Atom Sequence Similarity Searching

- Like fingerprints, atom pairs are generated directly from the molecule itself and not from a reference set of substructures [Chen & Reynolds 2002].

- Atom pairs are defined by:
  - the length of the shortest bond path between two atoms,
  - while the terminal atoms in this path are described by:
    - their element type
    - their number of pi electrons
    - their number of non-hydrogen neighbors

  **Example:** C12N03_06

- Atom sequences:
  - similar to atom pairs, but all atoms in bond path are described.
  - **Example:** C12C13C13C02C02N03

- Conversion of atom pairs/sequences to binary vectors of constant length is usually not performed, but would be possible.
Similarity Coefficients

1. Euclidean

\[ \sqrt{\frac{c + d}{a + b + c + d}} \]  

(1)

2. Tanimoto coefficient [Tanimoto 1957]

\[ \frac{c}{a + b + c} \]  

(2)

3. Tversky index [Tversky 1977]

\[ \frac{c}{\alpha \cdot a + \beta \cdot b + c} \]  

(3)

4. Many more similarity coefficients, see: [Holliday 2003]

Legend for variables:

- \(a\): count of features in CMP A but not in CMP B
- \(b\): count of features in CMP B but not in CMP A
- \(c\): count of features in both CMP A and CMP B
- \(d\): count of features absent in CMP A and CMP B
- \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\): weighting variables
Global versus Local Similarity Searches

Global Search
- 2D fragment-based
- Misses local similarities

+ Fast
- Utility

Local Search
- Substructure Search
- Superstructure Search
- Local Similarity Search (MCS)

- Slow
+ Utility

Utility for Clustering

Global Similarity

Common Fragments
Alternatives: 3D Searches & Docking

Conformer Predictions
Prediction of the most stable conformers in 3D space.

3D Searches
Uses shape and topological indices to query a 3D conformer database.

3D Substructure searches
Related to pharmacophore searches

Docking
Computational modeling of the possible binding modes of a ligand to a target site.
Important Compound Databases

Compound Databases

- PubChem [Link]
- DrugBank [Link]
- NCI [Link]
- ChemBank [Link]
- ChemNavigator [Link]
- SciFinder [Link]
- ChemMine [Link]
Outline

Introduction

CMP Structure Formats

Similarity Searching
  Background
  Fragment Similarity Search Methods
  Structural Descriptors
  Similarity Coefficients
  Alternatives

CMP Properties

CMP Libraries

References
Property Descriptors
Compound Descriptors

Structural descriptors
- Atom pairs, fingerprints
- many others

Property descriptors
- Formula
- Molecular weight
- Octanol/Water partition coefficient (logP)
- Hydrogen Bond Acceptors
- Hydrogen Bond Donors
- Acidic groups
- Rotatable bonds
- over 300-3000 additional ones
Drug-likeness Filters

Lipinski Rules
In a selection of 2245 compounds from the World Drug Index Lipinski identified four property cutoffs that were common in 90% of these drugs (Lipinski et al, 1997, Adv Drug Deliv Rev: 23, 3-25). These property filters are known as the ”Rule of Five” (all multiple of 5):

- MW < 500g/mol
- lipophilicity: logP < 5
- n H-bond donors < 5 (e.g. OH and NH)
- n H-bond acceptors < 10 (e.g. N and O)

Extended Lipinski Rules
- n rotatable bonds < 10

ADMET Rules
- Criteria for predicting adsorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion and toxicity (ADMET) more important for pharmaceutical industry than chemical genomics.
Extended Lipinski Descriptors

- Molecular Weight
- LogP
- Rotatable Bonds
- Hydrogen Bond Donors
- Hydrogen Bond Acceptors
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Which chemicals are of interest?
Chemical Space

Space comparisons

- Chemical space of small CMPs: $10^{60}$ structures (theoretical number of small CMPs with MW $\leq$ 500)
- Feasible CMP volume for HTS approaches: $10^6$
- Number of small CMPs in an organism much smaller: $10^3$-$10^4$
- Protein space: $10^{390}$ structures (theoretical number of proteins with 300 AA)
- Number of proteins in an organism much smaller: $10^3$-$10^5$

Critical questions

How big is the biological relevant chemical space and how can we design screening libraries that cover this space?

---

$^1$Ref: Dobson C (2005) Nature 432, 824-828
Compound Libraries

- Combinatorial libraries\(^a\)
  \(\sim 10^7\) avail. CMPs

- Bioactive compound libraries\(^b\)
  \(\sim 10^3\) avail. CMPs

- Natural compound libraries\(^c\)
  \(\sim 10^3\) avail. CMPs

- Metabolic compound libraries
  \(\sim 10^3\) avail. CMPs

- Compound collections
  Any combination of the above

- Virtual libraries
  \(\sim\) limited by computer power

---

\(^1\)Dobson C (2005) Nature 432, 824-828
Clustering Methods

- Principal component analysis (PCA)
  - Reduction technique of multivariate data to principal components to identify hidden variances

- Multidimensional scaling
  - Displays distance matrix of objects in spatial plot

- Hierarchical Clustering
  - Iterative joining of items by decreasing similarity

- Binning Clustering
  - Uses provided similarity cutoff for grouping of items
Property PCA

Tannic Acid (MW 1600)

Colistimethate (MW 1400)

Cobalamine (MW 1100)

56421 (MW 270)

19737 (MW 390)

Comb1 Comb2 Comb3 Comb4 Bioact
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